


Runtime Environments, Part II

Announcements

- Programming Project 3 checkpoint feedback emailed out; let me know *ASAP* if you didn't hear back from us.
- Programming Project 3 due Wednesday at 11:59PM.
 - OH every day until then.
 - Ask questions on Piazza!
 - Ask questions via email!

Where We Are



Implementing Objects

Objects are Hard

- It is difficult to build an **expressive** and **efficient** object-oriented language.
- Certain concepts are difficult to implement efficiently:
 - Dynamic dispatch (virtual functions)
 - Interfaces
 - Multiple Inheritance
 - Dynamic type checking (i.e. **instanceof**)
- Interfaces are so tricky to get right we won't ask you to implement them in PP4.

Encoding C-Style `struct`s

- A `struct` is a type containing a collection of named values.
- Most common approach: lay each field out in the order it's declared.


Encoding C-Style `struct`s

- A `struct` is a type containing a collection of named values.
- Most common approach: lay each field out in the order it's declared.

```
struct MyStruct {  
    int myInt;  
    char myChar;  
    double myDouble;  
};
```


Encoding C-Style `struct`s

- A `struct` is a type containing a collection of named values.
- Most common approach: lay each field out in the order it's declared.



Encoding C-Style `struct`s

- A `struct` is a type containing a collection of named values.
- Most common approach: lay each field out in the order it's declared.



Accessing Fields

- Once an object is laid out in memory, it's just a series of bytes.
- How do we know where to look to find a particular field?



Accessing Fields

- Once an object is laid out in memory, it's just a series of bytes.
- How do we know where to look to find a particular field?




- Idea: Keep an internal table inside the compiler containing the offsets of each field.
- To look up a field, start at the base address of the object and advance forward by the appropriate offset.

Field Lookup

```
struct MyStruct {  
    int x;  
    char y;  
    double z;  
};
```




Field Lookup



```
MyStruct* ms = new MyStruct;  
ms->x = 137;  
ms->y = 'A';  
ms->z = 2.71
```

Field Lookup



```
MyStruct* ms = new MyStruct;  
ms->x = 137;    store 137    0 bytes after ms  
ms->y = 'A';    store 'A'    4 bytes after ms  
ms->z = 2.71    store 2.71    8 bytes after ms
```

OOP without Member Functions

- Consider the following Decaf code:

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
}  
  
class Derived extends Base {  
    int z;  
}
```

- What will `Derived` look like in memory?


Memory Layouts with Inheritance

Memory Layouts with Inheritance


```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
};
```

Memory Layouts with Inheritance


```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
};
```



Memory Layouts with Inheritance




Memory Layouts with Inheritance



```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int z;  
};
```


Memory Layouts with Inheritance

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
};
```



```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int z;  
};
```

Memory Layouts with Inheritance




Field Lookup With Inheritance

Field Lookup With Inheritance

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
};
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int z;  
};
```



Field Lookup With Inheritance

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
};
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int z;  
};
```




```
Base ms = new Base;  
ms.x = 137;  
ms.y = 42;
```

Field Lookup With Inheritance

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
};
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int z;  
};
```



```
Base ms = new Base;
```


```
ms.x = 137;      store 137 0 bytes after ms
```

```
ms.y = 42;      store 42 4 bytes after ms
```

Field Lookup With Inheritance

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
};
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int z;  
};
```




```
Base ms = new Derived;  
ms.x = 137;  
ms.y = 42;
```

Field Lookup With Inheritance

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
};
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int z;  
};
```




```
Base ms = new Derived;  
ms.x = 137;  
ms.y = 42;
```

Field Lookup With Inheritance

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
};
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int z;  
};
```




```
Base ms = new Derived;
```

```
ms.x = 137;    store 137 0 bytes after ms
```

```
ms.y = 42;    store 42 4 bytes after ms
```

Field Lookup With Inheritance

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    int y;  
};
```



```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int z;  
};
```



```
Base ms = new Base;
```

```
ms.x = 137;    store 137 0 bytes after ms
```

```
ms.y = 42;    store 42 4 bytes after ms
```

```
Base ms = new Derived;
```

```
ms.x = 137;    store 137 0 bytes after ms
```

```
ms.y = 42;    store 42 4 bytes after ms
```

Single Inheritance in Decaf

- The memory layout for a class D that extends B is given by the memory layout for B followed by the memory layout for the members of D.
 - Actually a bit more complex; we'll see why later.
- Rationale: A pointer of type B pointing at a D object still sees the B object at the beginning.
- Operations done on a D object through the B reference guaranteed to be safe; no need to check what B points at dynamically.

What About Member Functions?

- Member functions are mostly like regular functions, but with two complications:
 - How do we know what the receiver object is and how to access it?
 - How do we know which function to call at runtime (dynamic dispatch)?

`this` is Tricky

- Inside a member function, the name `this` refers to the current receiver object.
- This information (pun intended) needs to be communicated into the function.
- **Idea:** Treat `this` as an implicit first parameter.
- Every n-argument member function is really an (n+1)-argument member function whose first parameter is the `this` pointer.

this is Clever

```
class MyClass {  
    int x;  
    void myFunction(int arg) {  
        this.x = arg;  
    }  
}
```

```
MyClass m = new MyClass;  
m.myFunction(137);
```

this is Clever

```
class MyClass {  
    int x;  
    void myFunction(int arg) {  
        this.x = arg;  
    }  
}
```

```
MyClass m = new MyClass;  
m.myFunction(137);
```

this is Clever

```
class MyClass {  
    int x;  
}  
void MyClass_myFunction(MyClass this, int arg) {  
    this.x = arg;  
}
```

```
MyClass m = new MyClass;  
m.myFunction(137);
```

this is Clever

```
class MyClass {  
    int x;  
}  
void MyClass_myFunction(MyClass this, int arg) {  
    this.x = arg;  
}
```

```
MyClass m = new MyClass;  
m.myFunction(137);
```

this is Clever

```
class MyClass {  
    int x;  
}  
void MyClass_myFunction(MyClass this, int arg) {  
    this.x = arg;  
}
```

```
MyClass m = new MyClass;  
MyClass_myFunction(m, 137);
```

`this` rules

- When generating code to call a member function, remember to pass some object as the `this` parameter representing the receiver object.
- Inside of a member function, treat `this` as just another parameter to the member function.
- When implicitly referring to a field of `this`, use this extra parameter as the object in which the field should be looked up.

Implementing Dynamic Dispatch

- **Dynamic dispatch** means determining which function to call at runtime based on the dynamic type of the object a method is invoked on.
- How do we set up our runtime environment so that we can efficiently support this?

An Initial Idea

- At compile-time, get a list of every defined class.
- To compile a dynamic dispatch, emit IR code for the following logic:


```
if (the object has type A)
    call A's version of the function
else if (the object has type B)
    call B's version of the function
...
else if (the object has type N)
    call N's version of the function.
```

This is a Bad Idea

- This previous idea has several serious problems.
- What are they?
- **It's slow.**
 - Number of checks is $O(C)$, where C is the number of classes the dispatch might refer to.
 - Gets slower the more classes there are.
- **It's infeasible in most languages.**
 - What if we link across multiple source files?
 - What if we support dynamic class loading?

An Observation

- When laying out fields in an object, we gave every field an offset.
- Derived classes have the base class fields in the same order at the beginning.



- Can we do something similar with functions?

Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
}
```

Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
}
```

Code for
Base.sayHi

Code for
Derived.sayHi

Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
}
```

Base.x

Code for
Base.sayHi

Code for
Derived.sayHi

Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
}
```

Base.x

Base.x

Derived.y

Code for
Base.sayHi

Code for
Derived.sayHi

Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
}
```




Code for
Base.sayHi

Code for
Derived.sayHi

Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
}
```



Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
}
```



Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
}
```



Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
}
```



Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
}
```



Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
}
```



More Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```

More Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```

Code for
Base.sayHi

Code for
Base.clone

Code for
Derived.sayHi

Code for
Derived.clone

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```


More Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```

Code for
Base.sayHi

Code for
Base.clone

Code for
Derived.sayHi

Code for
Derived.clone

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```

sayHi


clone

Base.x

More Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```



More Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```



More Virtual Function Tables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```



Virtual Function Tables

- A **virtual function table** (or **vtable**) is an array of pointers to the member function implementations for a particular class.
- To invoke a member function:
 - Determine (statically) its index in the vtable.
 - Follow the pointer at that index in the object's vtable to the code for the function.
 - Invoke that function.

This is a Pretty Good Idea

- Advantages:
 - Time to determine function to call is $O(1)$.
 - (and a good $O(1)$ too!)
- What are the disadvantages?


This is a Pretty Good Idea

- Advantages:
 - Time to determine function to call is $O(1)$.
 - (and a good $O(1)$ too!)
- What are the disadvantages?
- **Object creation is slower.**
 - Each new object needs to have $O(M)$ pointers set, where M is the number of member functions.
- **Object sizes are larger.**
 - Each object needs to have space for $O(M)$ pointers.

A Common Optimization

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```




A Common Optimization

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Base");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Derived");  
    }  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```



Objects in Memory



Dynamic Dispatch in $O(1)$

- Create a single instance of the vtable for each class.
- Have each object store a **pointer** to the vtable.
- Can follow the pointer to the table in $O(1)$.
- Can index into the table in $O(1)$.
- Can set the vtable pointer of a new object in $O(1)$.
- Increases the size of each object by $O(1)$.
- **This is the solution used in most C++ and Java implementations.**

Vtable Requirements

- We've made implicit assumptions about our language that allow vtables to work correctly.
- What are they?
- **Method calls known statically.**
 - We can determine at compile-time which methods are intended at each call (even if we're not sure which method is ultimately invoked).
- **Single inheritance.**
 - Don't need to worry about building a single vtable for multiple different classes.

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {
    public function sayHello() {
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";
    }
}

class Derived extends Base {
    public function sayHello() {
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";
    }
}
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}  
  
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```



Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```



Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```



Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
> Hi! I'm Base.
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```



```
> Hi! I'm Base.
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
$d = new Derived();  
$d->sayHello();
```



```
> Hi! I'm Base.
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
$d = new Derived();  
$d->sayHello();
```

```
> Hi! I'm Base.
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
$d = new Derived();  
$d->sayHello();
```

```
> Hi! I'm Base.  
Hi! I'm Derived.
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
$d = new Derived();  
$d->sayHello();
```

```
> Hi! I'm Base.  
Hi! I'm Derived.
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
$d = new Derived();  
$d->sayHello();
```

```
$b->missingFunction();
```

```
> Hi! I'm Base.  
Hi! I'm Derived.
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
$d = new Derived();  
$d->sayHello();
```

```
$b->missingFunction();
```

```
> Hi! I'm Base.  
Hi! I'm Derived.
```


Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
$d = new Derived();  
$d->sayHello();
```

```
$b->missingFunction();
```

```
> Hi! I'm Base.
```

```
Hi! I'm Derived.
```

```
ERROR: Base::missingFunction  
is not defined
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
$d = new Derived();  
$d->sayHello();
```

```
$b->missingFunction();
```

```
> Hi! I'm Base.  
Hi! I'm Derived.  
ERROR: Base::missingFunction  
is not defined
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
$d = new Derived();  
$d->sayHello();
```

```
$b->missingFunction();
```

```
$fnName = "sayHello";  
$b->$fnName();
```

```
> Hi! I'm Base.  
Hi! I'm Derived.  
ERROR: Base::missingFunction  
is not defined
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
$d = new Derived();  
$d->sayHello();
```

```
$b->missingFunction();
```

```
$fnName = "sayHello";  
$b->$fnName();
```

```
> Hi! I'm Base.  
Hi! I'm Derived.  
ERROR: Base::missingFunction  
is not defined
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
$d = new Derived();  
$d->sayHello();
```

```
$b->missingFunction();
```

```
$fnName = "sayHello";  
$b->$fnName();
```

```
> Hi! I'm Base.  
Hi! I'm Derived.  
ERROR: Base::missingFunction  
is not defined  
Hi! I'm Base.
```

Inheritance in PHP

```
class Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Base.";  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    public function sayHello() {  
        echo "Hi! I'm Derived.";  
    }  
}
```

```
$b = new Base();  
$b->sayHello();
```

```
$d = new Derived();  
$d->sayHello();
```

```
$b->missingFunction();
```

```
$fnName = "sayHello";  
$b->$fnName();
```

```
> Hi! I'm Base.  
Hi! I'm Derived.  
ERROR: Base::missingFunction  
is not defined  
Hi! I'm Base.
```

Why don't vtables work in PHP?

- **Call-by-string bypasses the vtable optimization.**
 - Impossible to statically determine contents of any string.
 - Would have to determine index into vtable at runtime.
- **No static type information on objects.**
 - Impossible to statically determine whether a given method exists at all.
- **Plus a few others:**
 - `eval` keyword executes arbitrary PHP code; could introduce new classes or methods.

Inheritance without Vtables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Hi!");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```


Inheritance without Vtables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Hi!");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```

Code for
Base.sayHi

Code for
Base.clone

Code for
Derived.clone

Inheritance without Vtables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Hi!");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```

Code for
Base.sayHi

Code for
Base.clone

Code for
Derived.clone

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```

Vtable*

Base.x

Inheritance without Vtables


```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Hi!");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```

Code for
Base.sayHi

Code for
Base.clone


Code for
Derived.clone



Inheritance without Vtables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Hi!");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```



Inheritance without Vtables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Hi!");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```



Inheritance without Vtables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Hi!");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```



Inheritance without Vtables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Hi!");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```



Inheritance without Vtables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Hi!");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```



Inheritance without Vtables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Hi!");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```


```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```



Inheritance without Vtables

```
class Base {  
    int x;  
    void sayHi() {  
        Print("Hi!");  
    }  
    Base clone() {  
        return new Base;  
    }  
}
```

```
class Derived extends Base {  
    int y;  
    Derived clone() {  
        return new Derived;  
    }  
}
```



A General Inheritance Framework

- Each object stores a pointer to a descriptor for its class.
- Each class descriptor stores
 - A pointer to the base class descriptor(s).
 - A pointer to a method lookup table.
- To invoke a method:
 - Follow the pointer to the method table.
 - If the method exists, call it.
 - Otherwise, navigate to the base class and repeat.
- This is slow but can be optimized in many cases; we'll see this later.

Vtables and Interfaces

```
interface Engine {
    void vroom();
}
interface Visible {
    void draw();
}
class PaintedEngine implements Engine, Visible {
    void vroom() { /* ... */ }
    void draw() { /* ... */ }
}
class JetEngine implements Engine {
    void vroom() { /* ... */ }
}
class Paint implements Visible {
    void draw() { /* ... */ }
}
```

```
Engine e1 = new PaintedEngine;
Engine e2 = new JetEngine;
e1.vroom();
e2.vroom();
Visible v1 = new PaintedEngine;
Visible v2 = new Paint;
v1.draw();
v2.draw();
```

Vtables and Interfaces

```
interface Engine {
    void vroom();
}
interface Visible {
    void draw();
}
class PaintedEngine implements Engine, Visible {
    void vroom() { /* ... */ }
    void draw() { /* ... */ }
}
class JetEngine implements Engine {
    void vroom() { /* ... */ }
}
class Paint implements Visible {
    void draw() { /* ... */ }
}
```

```
Engine e1 = new PaintedEngine;
Engine e2 = new JetEngine;
e1.vroom();
e2.vroom();
Visible v1 = new PaintedEngine;
Visible v2 = new Paint;
v1.draw();
v2.draw();
```

PaintedEngine vtable

vroom

draw

Vtables and Interfaces

```
interface Engine {
    void vroom();
}
interface Visible {
    void draw();
}
class PaintedEngine implements Engine, Visible {
    void vroom() { /* ... */ }
    void draw() { /* ... */ }
}
class JetEngine implements Engine {
    void vroom() { /* ... */ }
}
class Paint implements Visible {
    void draw() { /* ... */ }
}
```

```
Engine e1 = new PaintedEngine;
Engine e2 = new JetEngine;
e1.vroom();
e2.vroom();
Visible v1 = new PaintedEngine;
Visible v2 = new Paint;
v1.draw();
v2.draw();
```

PaintedEngine vtable

vroom

draw

JetEngine vtable

vroom

Vtables and Interfaces

```
interface Engine {
    void vroom();
}
interface Visible {
    void draw();
}
class PaintedEngine implements Engine, Visible {
    void vroom() { /* ... */ }
    void draw() { /* ... */ }
}
class JetEngine implements Engine {
    void vroom() { /* ... */ }
}
class Paint implements Visible {
    void draw() { /* ... */ }
}
```

```
Engine e1 = new PaintedEngine;
Engine e2 = new JetEngine;
e1.vroom();
e2.vroom();
Visible v1 = new PaintedEngine;
Visible v2 = new Paint;
v1.draw();
v2.draw();
```

PaintedEngine vtable

vroom	draw
-------	------

JetEngine vtable

vroom

Paint vtable

(empty)	draw
---------	------

Interfaces with Vtables

- Interfaces complicate vtable layouts because they require interface methods to have consistent positions across all vtables.
- This can fill vtables with useless entries.
- For this reason, interfaces are typically not implemented using pure vtables.
- We'll see two approaches for implementing interfaces efficiently.

Interfaces via String Lookup

- Idea: A hybrid approach.
- Use vtables for standard (non-interface) dispatch.
- Use the more general, string-based lookup for interfaces.


Object Layout with Interfaces

```
class Charlie implements Sheen {
    int awesome;
    void win() {
        Print("WINNING!");
    }
    void beAMeme() {
        Print("AWWWW YEAH");
    }
}

interface Sheen {
    void win();
}
```


Object Layout with Interfaces

```
class Charlie implements Sheen {  
    int awesome;  
    void win() {  
        Print("WINNING!");  
    }  
    void beAMeme() {  
        Print("AWWWW YEAH");  
    }  
}  
  
interface Sheen {  
    void win();  
}
```



Object Layout with Interfaces


```
class Charlie implements Sheen {  
    int awesome;  
    void win() {  
        Print("WINNING!");  
    }  
    void beAMeme() {  
        Print("AWWWW YEAH");  
    }  
}  
  
interface Sheen {  
    void win();  
}
```



Object Layout with Interfaces

```
class Charlie implements Sheen {  
    int awesome;  
    void win() {  
        Print("WINNING!");  
    }  
    void beAMeme() {  
        Print("AWWWW YEAH");  
    }  
}
```


```
interface Sheen {  
    void win();  
}
```



Object Layout with Interfaces

```
class Charlie implements Sheen {  
    int awesome;  
    void win() {  
        Print("WINNING!");  
    }  
    void beAMeme() {  
        Print("AWWWW YEAH");  
    }  
}
```

```
interface Sheen {  
    void win();  
}
```



Analysis of the Approach

- Dynamic dispatch through object types still $O(1)$.
- Interface dispatches take $O(Mn)$, where M is the number of methods and n is the length of the method name.
- Can easily speed up to $O(n)$ expected by replacing a list of strings with a hash table.

Optimizing Interface Dispatch

- Assign a unique number to each interface method.
- Replace hash table of strings with hash table of integers.
 - Vtable effectively now a hash table instead of an array.
- Cost to do an interface dispatch now $O(1)$.
 - (But still more expensive than a standard dynamic dispatch.)
- Would this work in PHP?

Optimizing Interface Dispatch

- Assign a unique number to each interface method.
- Replace hash table of strings with hash table of integers.
 - Vtable effectively now a hash table instead of an array.
- Cost to do an interface dispatch now $O(1)$.
 - (But still more expensive than a standard dynamic dispatch.)
- Would this work in PHP?
 - **No**; can still do string-based lookups directly.

Optimizing Even Further

- Vtable lookups are (comparatively) fast compared to hash table lookups.
 - Can often do vtable lookup in two instructions!
- Hashing strings is (comparatively) very slow; hashing integers is (comparatively) slow.
- Can we eliminate the hash lookups in some cases?

An Observation

```
interface Meme {  
    void run();  
}
```

```
class Nyan implements Meme {  
    void run() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
}
```

```
class Troll implements Meme {  
    void run() {  
        Print("Problem!");  
    }  
}
```

```
Meme n = new Nyan;  
for (i = 0; i < 100; ++i)  
    n.run();
```

```
Meme t = new Troll;  
for (i = 0; i < 100; ++i)  
    t.run();
```

An Observation

```
interface Meme {  
    void run();  
}
```

```
class Nyan implements Meme {  
    void run() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
}
```

```
class Troll implements Meme {  
    void run() {  
        Print("Problem!");  
    }  
}
```

```
Meme n = new Nyan;  
for (i = 0; i < 100; ++i)  
    n.run();
```

```
Meme t = new Troll;  
for (i = 0; i < 100; ++i)  
    t.run();
```

Code for
Nyan.run

Code for
Troll.run

An Observation

```
interface Meme {  
    void run();  
}
```

```
class Nyan implements Meme {  
    void run() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
}
```

```
class Troll implements Meme {  
    void run() {  
        Print("Problem!");  
    }  
}
```

```
Meme n = new Nyan;  
for (i = 0; i < 100; ++i)  
    n.run();
```

```
Meme t = new Troll;  
for (i = 0; i < 100; ++i)  
    t.run();
```

Code for
Nyan.run

Code for
Troll.run

Inline Caching

- A particular interface dispatch site often refers to the same method on the majority of its calls.
- **Idea:** Have each call site cache the type of the first object the call is made on, along with the address of the method that's resolved.
- When doing an interface dispatch, check whether the type of the receiver matches the cached type.
 - If so, just use the known method.
 - If not, fall back to the standard string-based dispatch.
- This is called **inline caching**.

Tradeoffs in Inline Caching

- For **monomorphic** call sites (only one object type actually getting used), inline caching can be a huge performance win.
- For **polymorphic** call sites (multiple object types getting used), inline caching can slow down the program.
 - (Why?)
- A more advanced technique called **polymorphic inline caching** tries to balance the two by maintaining a small collection of known types.
 - This optimization is used by many JITs for object-oriented languages, including Java.

Summary of String-Based Lookup

- Preserves the runtime speed of dispatch through objects.
- Allows flexible dispatch through interfaces.
- Can be optimized if method calls can be resolved statically.
- Can be optimized further using variants of inline caching.

Vtables Revisited

- **Recall:** Why do interfaces complicate vtable layouts?
- **Answer:** Interface methods must have a consistent position in all vtables.
- **Idea:** What if we have **multiple vtables** per object, one for each interface?
 - Allows interface methods to be positioned independently of one another.
 - Allows for fast vtable lookups relative to string-based approach.
- This is **much harder** than it seems, but it's what's used in C++.

Interfaces and Vtables


```
interface Meme {  
    void run();  
}  
interface Cat {  
    void meow();  
}
```

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    void run() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
    void meow() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
    void eat() {  
        Print("Yummy Pop Tart!");  
    }  
}
```

Interfaces and Vtables

```
interface Meme {  
    void run();  
}  
interface Cat {  
    void meow();  
}
```


```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    void run() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
    void meow() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
    void eat() {  
        Print("Yummy Pop Tart!");  
    }  
}
```



Interfaces and Vtables

```
interface Meme {  
    void run();  
}  
interface Cat {  
    void meow();  
}
```


```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    void run() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
    void meow() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
    void eat() {  
        Print("Yummy Pop Tart!");  
    }  
}
```



Interfaces and Vtables

```
interface Meme {  
    void run();  
}  
interface Cat {  
    void meow();  
}
```


```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    void run() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
    void meow() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
    void eat() {  
        Print("Yummy Pop Tart!");  
    }  
}
```



Interfaces and Vtables

```
interface Meme {  
    void run();  
}  
interface Cat {  
    void meow();  
}
```


```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    void run() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
    void meow() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
    void eat() {  
        Print("Yummy Pop Tart!");  
    }  
}
```



Interfaces and Vtables

```
interface Meme {  
    void run();  
}  
interface Cat {  
    void meow();  
}
```

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    void run() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
    void meow() {  
        Print("Nyan!");  
    }  
    void eat() {  
        Print("Yummy Pop Tart!");  
    }  
}
```



The Problem

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;  
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();  
c2.meow();
```


The Problem

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;
```

```
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();
```

```
c2.meow();
```

The Problem

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;  
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();  
c2.meow();
```



Nyan Vtable
Meme Vtable
Cat Vtable

The Problem

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;
```

```
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();
```

```
c2.meow();
```



Nyan Vtable
Meme Vtable
Cat Vtable

The Problem

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;
```

```
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();
```

```
c2.meow();
```

Nyan Vtable
Meme Vtable
Cat Vtable

Mewtwo Vtable
Cat Vtable

The Problem

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;
```

```
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();
```

```
c2.meow();
```

Nyan Vtable
Meme Vtable
Cat Vtable

Mewtwo Vtable
Cat Vtable

The Problem

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```


```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;
```

```
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();
```

```
c2.meow();
```



The Problem

- The offset from the base of the object to a particular interface vtable depends on the dynamic type of the object.
- We cannot generate IR code to do an interface dispatch without knowing where the vtable is.
- We don't seem to have gotten anywhere...

A Partial Solution

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;  
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();  
c2.meow();
```


A Partial Solution

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;
```

```
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();
```

```
c2.meow();
```

A Partial Solution

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;  
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();  
c2.meow();
```

Nyan Vtable
Meme Vtable
Cat Vtable

A Partial Solution

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;  
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();  
c2.meow();
```



Nyan Vtable
Meme Vtable
Cat Vtable

A Partial Solution

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;  
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();  
c2.meow();
```



Nyan Vtable

Meme Vtable

Cat Vtable

A Partial Solution

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```


```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;
```

```
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();
```

```
c2.meow();
```




A Partial Solution

```
class Nyan implements Meme, Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
class Mewtwo implements Cat {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

```
Cat c1 = new Nyan;  
Cat c2 = new Mewtwo;
```

```
c1.meow();  
c2.meow();
```



A Partial Solution

- When upcasting an object to an interface type, **change where the pointer points** so that it sees the vtable pointer for that interface.
- We can now assume an interface reference refers directly to the vtable.
- But there's a **serious** problem with this implementation...

Looking in the Wrong Place

```
interface Cat {
    void meow();
}
class Garfield implements Cat {
    int totalSleep;
    void meow() {
        totalSleep --;
        Print("I'm tired.");
    }
}

Cat g = new Garfield;
g.meow();
```


Looking in the Wrong Place

```
interface Cat {  
    void meow();  
}  
class Garfield implements Cat {  
    int totalSleep;  
    void meow() {  
        totalSleep --;  
        Print("I'm tired.");  
    }  
}
```

```
Cat g = new Garfield;  
g.meow();
```

Looking in the Wrong Place

```
interface Cat {
    void meow();
}
class Garfield implements Cat {
    int totalSleep;
    void meow() {
        totalSleep --;
        Print("I'm tired.");
    }
}
```

```
Cat g = new Garfield;
g.meow();
```

Garfield Vtable

Cat Vtable

totalSleep

Looking in the Wrong Place

```
interface Cat {  
    void meow();  
}  
class Garfield implements Cat {  
    int totalSleep;  
    void meow() {  
        totalSleep --;  
        Print("I'm tired.");  
    }  
}
```

```
Cat g = new Garfield;  
g.meow();
```



Looking in the Wrong Place

```
interface Cat {  
    void meow();  
}  
class Garfield implements Cat {  
    int totalSleep;  
    void meow() {  
        totalSleep --;  
        Print("I'm tired.");  
    }  
}
```


```
Cat g = new Garfield;  
g.meow();
```



Looking in the Wrong Place

```
interface Cat {  
    void meow();  
}  
class Garfield implements Cat {  
    int totalSleep;  
    void meow() {  
        totalSleep --;  
        Print("I'm tired.");  
    }  
}
```

```
Cat g = new Garfield;  
g.meow();
```



Code for Garfield::meow(Garfield* this)

Look up the integer 8 bytes past 'this'

Read its value into memory


Subtract one from the value

Store the value back into memory

Looking in the Wrong Place

```
interface Cat {
    void meow();
}
class Garfield implements Cat {
    int totalSleep;
    void meow() {
        totalSleep --;
        Print("I'm tired.");
    }
}
```

```
Cat g = new Garfield;
g.meow();
```



Code for Garfield::meow(Garfield* this)

Look up the integer 8 bytes past 'this'

Read its value into memory

Subtract one from the value

Store the value back into memory

Looking in the Wrong Place

- Interface pointers cannot be used directly as the `this` pointer in methods calls.
 - Pointing into the **middle** of an object, not the **base** of the object.
 - All field offsets will refer to the wrong parts of memory.
- How can we correct this?

Adding in Deltas



```
Cat g = new Garfield;  
g.meow();
```

```
Code for Garfield::meow(Garfield* this)
```

```
    Look up the integer 8 bytes past 'this'
```

```
    Read its value into memory
```

```
    Subtract one from the value
```

```
    Store the value back into memory
```


Adding in Deltas



```
Cat g = new Garfield;  
g.meow();
```

```
Code for Garfield::meow(Garfield* this)
```

```
Look up the integer 8 bytes past 'this'
```

```
Read its value into memory
```

```
Subtract one from the value
```

```
Store the value back into memory
```

Vtable Deltas

- Augment each interface vtable with the offset in bytes the pointer must be corrected to get back to the base of the object.
- A dynamic dispatch then looks like this:
 - Look up the address of the function to call by following the vtable pointer and looking at the recovered address.
 - Look up the amount to adjust the object pointer in the vtable.
 - Update the object pointer by adding in the given delta.
 - Call the function indicated in the vtable.

Analysis of Vtable Deltas

- Cost to invoke a method is $O(1)$ regardless of the number of interfaces.
- Also a **fast** $O(1)$; typically much better than a hash table lookup.
- Size of an object increases by $O(I)$, where I is the number of interfaces.
- Cost to create an object is $O(I)$, where I is the number of interfaces.
 - (Why?)

Comparison of Approaches

- String-based lookups have small objects and fast object creation but slow dispatch times.
 - Only need to set one vtable pointer in the generated object.
 - Dispatches require some type of string comparisons.
- Vtable-based lookups have larger objects and slower object creation but faster dispatch times.
 - Need to set multiple vtable pointers in the generated object.
 - Dispatches can be done using simple arithmetic.

Implementing Dynamic Type Checks

Dynamic Type Checks

- Many languages require some sort of dynamic type checking.
 - Java's `instanceof`, C++'s `dynamic_cast`, any dynamically-typed language.
- May want to determine whether the dynamic type is **convertible** to some other type, not whether the type is **equal**.
- How can we implement this?

A Pretty Good Approach

```
class A {  
    void f() {}  
}
```

```
class B extends A {  
    void f() {}  
}
```

```
class C extends A {  
    void f() {}  
}
```

```
class D extends B {  
    void f() {}  
}
```

```
class E extends C {  
    void f() {}  
}
```

A Pretty Good Approach

```
class A {  
    void f() {}  
}
```

A.f

```
class B extends A {  
    void f() {}  
}
```

B.f

```
class C extends A {  
    void f() {}  
}
```

C.f

```
class D extends B {  
    void f() {}  
}
```


D.f

```
class E extends C {  
    void f() {}  
}
```


E.f

A Pretty Good Approach


```
class A {  
    void f() {}  
}
```




```
class B extends A {  
    void f() {}  
}
```




```
class C extends A {  
    void f() {}  
}
```



```
class D extends B {  
    void f() {}  
}
```




```
class E extends C {  
    void f() {}  
}
```



A Pretty Good Approach

```
class A {  
    void f() {}  
}  
  
class B extends A {  
    void f() {}  
}  
  
class C extends A {  
    void f() {}  
}  
  
class D extends B {  
    void f() {}  
}  
  
class E extends C {  
    void f() {}  
}
```




Simple Dynamic Type Checking

- Have each object's vtable store a pointer to its base class.
- To check if an object is convertible to type S at runtime, follow the pointers embedded in the object's vtable upward until we find S or reach a type with no parent.
- Runtime is $O(d)$, where d is the depth of the class in the hierarchy.
- Can we make this faster?








A Marvelous Idea

- There is a **fantastically clever** way of checking convertibility at runtime in $O(1)$ in restricted circumstances.
- Assume:
 - There aren't “too many” classes derived from any one class (say, 10).
 - A runtime check of whether an object that is statically of type A is dynamically of type B is only possible if $A \leq B$.
 - All types are known statically.





A Marvelous Idea







A Marvelous Idea






A Marvelous Idea



A Marvelous Idea







A Marvelous Idea






```
A myObject = /* ... */  
if (myObject instanceof C) {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

A Marvelous Idea



```
A myObject = /* ... */  
if (myObject instanceof C) {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

A Marvelous Idea



```
A myObject = /* ... */  
if (myObject->vtable.key % 3 == 0) {  
    /* ... */  
}
```

Dynamic Typing through Primes

- Assign each class a unique prime number.
 - (Can reuse primes across unrelated type hierarchies.)
- Set the **key** of that class to be the product of its prime and all the primes of its superclasses.
- To check at runtime if an object is convertible to type T:
 - Look up the object's key.
 - If T's key divides the object's key, the object is convertible to T.
 - Otherwise, it is not.
- Assuming product of primes fits into an integer, can do this check in **$O(1)$** .
- Also works with multiple inheritance; prototype C++ implementations using this technique exist.

Next Time

- Three-Address Code IR.
- **IR Generation.**