

Assignment 0: Evil Hangman

Due May 14, 11:59 PM

Introduction

It's time to pull together the IOStream library and STL to build an incredible piece of software – **Evil Hangman**. Your task is to construct a computer program that dupes mortals like you and I into thinking we're playing a simple game of hangman while in reality we're facing the full power of a silicon foe.

The Basic Idea

Normally, a game of hangman goes like this:

1. One player chooses a secret word, then writes out a number of dashes equal to the word length.
2. The other players begin guessing letters. If a player guesses a letter that's in the word, the first player reveals all instances of that letter in the word. Otherwise, the guess is incorrect.
3. The game ends when either all the letters in the word have been revealed or when the guessers have run out of guesses.

Fundamental to the game is the fact the first player actually picks a word and accurately represents it when the other players are guessing. That way, when the other players guess letters, the player who chose the hidden word can tell whether that letter is in the word. But what happens if the player doesn't actually choose a word? What if instead that player just comes up with a list of every possible word that could fit in the spaces, then starts eliminating words whenever the other players start guessing? Provided that the player choosing the word can do this quickly enough, the other players would have no idea what was going on.

Let's see exactly how this might work in practice. Suppose that you are choosing a hangman word of length four. Rather than choosing a word, instead you build a list of all of the four-letter words in the English language. For simplicity, let's assume this is your word list:

```
ALLY BETA COOL DEAL ELSE FLEW GOOD HOPE IBEX
```

Now, whenever other players guess a letter, we have two choices. First, we can simply eliminate all words that contain that letter and tell the player that they guessed incorrectly. For example, if the user guessed E and we decided to remove all words containing E from the list, then our resulting word list would be

```
ALLY COOL GOOD
```

However, removing all words containing the guessed letter from the word list might not be a good idea. For example, given these three words as a word list, if the user were to guess O, removing all words containing the letter O would give us a length-one word list. However, if we instead remove all words *not* containing O from the word list, then we get the following list:

```
COOL GOOD
```

Which has two words instead of one.

We have to be careful about about what words we eliminate, though, because we have to keep up the illusion of a fair game. For example, consider the following word list:

MOOD FOLD TOOL PROD GOLD SORE

All of the words here contain the letter O, and so if the user guesses O we cannot simply eliminate all words containing O. However, there's a slight problem. If we choose not to eliminate all words containing the letter O from the mix, then we need to tell the user that the guess was correct and that the word contains several O's. But where in the word should we reveal these O's? Let's take a look at all of the remaining words, highlighting the positions of the letter O:

MOOD FOLD TOOL PROD GOLD SORE

If you'll notice, all of the above words fall into one of three “word families:” -OO-, -O--, and --O-. That is, if you take any of the above words and consider the positions of the O's in that word, you'll get one of the three patterns. We see that there are two words in the family -OO-, three words in the family -O--, and one word in the family --O-. Since -O-- is the most common family, we'll throw out all words that don't match this pattern, leaving the group of words

FOLD GOLD SORE

And will then tell the user that they correctly guessed that there was an O at the second letter of the word. Now, we're left with the largest possible set of words to continue play with, and we can still maintain the illusion that we're playing a fair game.

The above example illustrates the general algorithm for paring down the word list. Whenever the user guesses a letter, we'll construct the word families for each word remaining in the word list, find the most common family, and then eliminate all words that aren't in that family. At each step in the program, this keeps the maximum number of words remaining in the word list. While this isn't necessarily the optimal strategy, it works very well in practice and isn't too difficult to implement.

To give a concrete example of how this algorithm works in practice, let's return to our initial word list:

ALLY BETA COOL DEAL ELSE FLEW GOOD HOPE IBEX

Now, if the user guesses the letter E, then we compute the word families for the above words with respect to E. Highlighting the positions of the letter E gives us

ALLY BETA COOL DEAL ELSE FLEW GOOD HOPE IBEX

There are five word families here:

- ----, which contains words ALLY, COOL, and GOOD
- -E--, which contains words BETA and DEAL
- --E-, which contains words FLEW and IBEX
- ---E, which contains word HOPE
- E--E, which contains word ELSE

Notice that the collection ---- of words not containing the letter E is a valid word family – this is very important!

Of the five families listed above, the word family ---- contains the most words, so we update our word list by eliminating all words not in this family. This yields the word list

ALLY COOL GOOD

And we would report to the user that her guess was incorrect.

As play progresses, one of two things will happen. First, the user might be smart enough to pare the word list down to one word and then guess what that word is. In this case, we'll just print out a congratulatory message and say that she guessed correctly. Second, and by far the most common case, the player will be completely stumped and will run out of guesses. When this happens, we'll just pick any remaining word out of the word list and tell them that that was the word she was guessing at all along. The irony is that the user will have no way of knowing that we were dodging guesses the whole time – it looks like we simply picked an unusual word and stuck with it the whole way.

The Assignment

Using only standard C++, you are to write an implementation of the Evil Hangman game using the aforementioned algorithm. You will be building the entire program from scratch, so feel free to use any code off of the course website, especially for user input validation. Please be sure to cite your sources!

Your program should do the following:

1. Prompt the user for a word length, reprompting as necessary until the user enters a number such that there's at least one word that's exactly that long. That is, if the user wants to play with words of length -42 or 137, since no English words are that long, you should reprompt her.
2. Prompt the user for a number of guesses, which must be at an integer greater than zero. Don't worry about the case where the user enters very large numbers, since guesses above 26 can't make a difference.
3. Prompt the user for whether she wants to have a running total of the number of words remaining in the word list. This defeats the purpose of the game, but is useful for testing.
4. Play a game of hangman using the Evil Hangman algorithm, as described below:
 1. Print out how many guesses the user has remaining, along with any letters the player has guessed and the current blanked-out version of the word. If the user chose earlier to see the number of words remaining, print that out too.
 2. Prompt the user for a single letter guess, reprompting until the user enters a letter that she hasn't guessed yet. Make sure that the input is exactly one character long and that it's a letter of the alphabet (you can use the `isalpha` function from the `<cctype>` header to determine if a character is a letter).
 3. Find the most common “word family” in the remaining words, remove all words from the word list that aren't in that family, and report the position of the letters (if any) to the user. If the word family doesn't contain any copies of the letter, subtract a remaining guess from the user.
 4. If the player has run out of guesses, pick a word from the word list and display it as the word that the computer initially “chose.”
 5. If the player correctly guesses the word, congratulate her.
5. Ask if the user wants to play again and loop accordingly.

Your program should use the file `dictionary.txt`, available on the CS106L website, as its master word list. Do not read the file multiple times – it's over one megabyte, which makes for some rather lengthy load times. Instead, just create a master word list that you won't change in the course of the

program, then copy the relevant sections when needed. Don't worry about the case where your program can't find the `dictionary.txt` file.

Advice, Tips, and Tricks

Since you're building this project from scratch, you'll need to do a bit of planning to figure out what the best data structures are for the program. There is no “right way” to go about writing this program, but some design decisions are much better than others (e.g. you *can* store your word list in a `stack<string>`, but this is probably not the best option). As always, feel free to email me if you have any questions.

Here are some general design tips that might be useful:

1. When reading in the words from the file, make sure you're reading every word. You can test this by replacing `dictionary.txt` with a file that's a single word long. Don't worry about the case where the dictionary is empty or missing.
2. Make sure that you handle invalid user input appropriately. Use functions like `GetInteger` and `GetLine` to read input, and be sure to confirm that any user-supplied data is valid before processing it. These touches will make your program look more professional and are important if you plan on demonstrating it to your friends.
3. When considering word families, letter position matters just as much as letter frequency. Thus “BEER” and “HERE” are in two different families even though they both have two E's in them.
4. Be careful when iterating over container classes and removing elements. If you remove an element from a container, you *invalidate* all iterators that point to that element. Invalid iterators may point to garbage data, and their `*` and `++` operators are not guaranteed to work as expected. If you're working with a sequence container (`list`, `vector`, or `deque`), you can avoid issues with invalidated iterators by updating the value of the iterator you pass to `erase` to the iterator returned by `erase`. When working with associative containers (`map`, `set`), if you have an iterator `itr` to an element that you want to remove, and you're also using `itr` inside of a loop, you can prevent `itr` from being invalidated by using this technique:

```
while(itr != myContainer.end())
{
    if (/* some condition */)
    {
        containerType::iterator toRemove = itr;
        ++itr; // Advance itr.
        myContainer.erase(toRemove); // Remove element.
    }
    /* Otherwise, we didn't advance itr yet, so do it here. */
    else ++itr;
}
```

5. While efficiency is not critically important, your program should be responsive to user input. If your program takes awhile to do all of the required bookkeeping, the user might get suspicious that something fishy is afoot. If you're experiencing delays when running your program (more than three seconds to respond to input), you probably have made some suboptimal design decisions and might want to rewrite parts of your program.

Extensions

The algorithm outlined in this handout is only one possible way to implement Evil Hangman. There are many other devious ways that you can go about paring the word list down. If you have any suggestions for an improved algorithm, feel free to implement it and include it with your solution. If you end up writing a truly devious program, I'd be glad to demo it in class.

Deliverables

To submit the assignment, email any source files to htiek@cs.stanford.edu. If you've added any extensions or special features I should be aware of, let me know in the body of your email.

Good luck!